1 Introduction

In 1996, results from the CERC Atmospheric Dispersion Model, ADMS 2 were validated against experimental wind tunnel data of dispersion from chemical warehouse fires [1]. The original experimental data used to validate the model were presented in a Building Research Establishment Client Report [2]. Here, results from ADMS 5.1 (version 5.1.2.0) are validated against these experimental data, and corresponding ADMS 5.2 (version 5.2.0.0) results are also presented.

Section 2 describes the experimental set up used in the wind tunnel experiments. Section 3 describes the exact input used for the ADMS runs. The results are presented in Section 4 and a summary of the results is given in Section 5.

2 Experimental set up

For full details of the experimental set up used, please refer to the BRE Client Report [2]. The experiments were carried out at model scale, which was taken to be 1/150 of a full scale sized warehouse. Two warehouse dimensions were used for comparison purposes: a large and a small warehouse. Figure 1 shows the building shapes used.

![Figure 1 – The small building shape (top) and large building shape (bottom).](image_url)
The roof openings were taken as the source of the smoke from the warehouse fire and the wind was taken as to be coming from the West. For both buildings, different numbers of roof openings were considered in separate experiments; that is, for the large building, the smoke was taken to come out of 1, 4, 9 and 15 openings, and for the small building, out of 1, 2 and 4 openings.

Three different buoyancy cases were considered. These are named as Cases S, W and X, and are summarized in Table 1 (which is derived from to Table 2 in [2]). Here, the buoyancy flux parameter $F_b$ is defined as

$$F_b = \frac{F}{U^3 L} ,$$

where $U$ is the wind speed at reference height (taken to be the top of the building), $L$ is the physical length scale of the experiment (taken to be the height of the building).

$F$ is the buoyancy flux defined by

$$F = g \frac{\Delta \rho V}{\rho_a \pi} ,$$

where $g$ is the acceleration due to gravity, $\rho_a$ is the ambient gas density, $\rho$ is the density of the smoke ($\Delta \rho = \rho_a - \rho$), and $V$ is the volume emission rate of the discharged fire plume.

The momentum flux parameter $F_m$ is defined as

$$F_m = \frac{\rho}{\rho_a} \frac{V w}{U^2 L} ,$$

where $w$ is the fire plume gas exit velocity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Buoyancy flux parameter $F_b$</th>
<th>Momentum flux parameter $F_m$</th>
<th>Model wind speed $U$</th>
<th>Source diameter</th>
<th>Gas volume emission rate $V$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>29.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 – Experimental set up parameters (model scale). $U$ in m/s, diameter in mm, volume emission rate in l/min.

**Note**

1. The exit velocities/volume emission rates entered into the model runs are calculated from the values in the gas volume emission rates indicated in Table 1, not from the momentum flux parameter $F_m$ given in the table. There is a slight inconsistency here, in particular for Case S where a momentum flux of zero must correspond to a volume emission rate of zero. The values of $F_m$ and $V$ agree to within about 5% for Cases W and X.

2. The relationship between lengths for the model $L_{mod}$ and full scale $L_{fs}$ is

$$L_{fs} = 150 L_{mod} ,$$

which leads to the following relationship between model $U_{mod}$ and full scale $U_{fs}$ velocities:

$$U_{fs} = \sqrt{150} U_{mod} .$$
3 Input data

This section summarizes the data input into the ADMS 5.1 and ADMS 5.2 models. Note that all parameters given are for the full scale set up. Five sets of data are discussed: building data, source data, roughness length, meteorological data and output grid.

In addition, at the end of this section, a brief discussion on the adjustments made to the ADMS 5.1 and ADMS 5.2 code in order to model the wind tunnel boundary layer correctly is given.

3.1 Buildings

One building is modelled and the height is taken to be 10 m, which is the height of the building to the eaves. Other building parameters for the large and small building are given in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building</th>
<th>Height (m)</th>
<th>Length (m)</th>
<th>Width (m)</th>
<th>Angle (°)</th>
<th>Centre (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>large</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(-15, 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(-15, 0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 – Building dimensions, orientation and location. The angle is the angle between north and the building length measured clockwise from north.

3.2 Source parameters

The roof openings (sources) are shown in Figure 1. The locations of the 15 sources on the large building are given in Table 3 and those of the 4 sources on the small building are given in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>(-22.50, 0.00)</td>
<td>S9</td>
<td>(-22.50, 6.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>(-22.50, -6.37)</td>
<td>S10</td>
<td>(-22.50, 12.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>(-22.50, -12.74)</td>
<td>S11</td>
<td>(-22.50, 19.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>(-22.50, -19.11)</td>
<td>S12</td>
<td>(-22.50, 25.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>(-22.50, -25.38)</td>
<td>S13</td>
<td>(-22.50, 31.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>(-22.50, -31.85)</td>
<td>S14</td>
<td>(-22.50, 38.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>(-22.50, -38.22)</td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>(-22.50, 44.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>(-22.50, -44.59)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 – Source locations for the large building.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>(-22.500, -3.195)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>(-22.500, 3.195)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>(-22.500, -9.585)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>(-22.500, 9.585)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 – Source locations for the small building.
For the large building, four different cases were considered: 1 roof opening (S1), 4 roof openings (S1-S3 and S9), 9 roof openings (S1-S5 and S9-S12) and 15 roof openings (S1-S15). For each case, an emission rate of 1 g/s was taken. That is for one opening, the source emission rate was 1 g/s whereas for 4 openings, each source has an emission rate of 0.25 g/s. Similarly, the volume flow rate/gas exit velocity (which varies for each of the Cases S, W and X) is divided equally between the sources. Sources are taken to be circular.

For the small building, three different cases were considered: 1 roof opening (S1), 2 roof openings (S1-S2) and 4 roof openings (S1-S4). Emission rates and volume flow rates were divided equally between the roof openings, as for the large building. The remaining source input parameters are summarized in Table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Height (m)</th>
<th>Diameter (m)</th>
<th>Exit volume rate (m³/s)</th>
<th>Gas density (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Emission rate (g/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>1.225</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>90.02</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>136.90</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 – Source parameters.

3.3 Roughness length

The roughness length was taken to be 0.3 m.

3.4 Meteorological data

The meteorological data are summarized in Table 6.

The definition of the surface sensible heat flux $F_{\theta}$ is not discussed here as it suffices to say that a value of $F_{\theta} = 0$ W/m² corresponds to neutral atmospheric conditions (Pasquill-Gifford stability category D).

The height of the recorded wind was taken in all cases to be 10 m.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Wind speed (m/s)</th>
<th>Wind direction (°)</th>
<th>Boundary-layer height (m)</th>
<th>Surface sensible heat flux (W/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 – Meteorological data.

3.5 Output grid

The output considered was at 15 locations downstream of the centre of building at locations x = 9.375, 18.75, 37.5, 75, 112.5 and then at 37.5 m intervals until x = 487.5 m.

3.6 Modifications made to the ADMS 5.1 and ADMS 5.2 codes

The boundary layer profile in a wind tunnel is approximately logarithmic, as in the
atmospheric boundary layer. However, close to the surface, turbulence profiles in a wind tunnel are not typical of those observed in the atmosphere.

For this reason the boundary layer profiles in the ADMS 5.1 and ADMS 5.2 codes were modified slightly. For further details of the modifications made to the code, the reader is referred to Section 5 of the original ADMS Chemical Warehouse Fires validation paper [1].

4 Results

For each of the models (ADMS 5.1 and ADMS 5.2), there are 12 sets of experimental results for the large building and 9 sets for the small building. For each experiment, 15 data points are compared. The best way to display results such as these is statistically. The package used to calculate these statistics is the MyAir Toolkit for Model Evaluation [4].

The statistics are presented separately for the large and small building, and for buoyancy cases (S, W and X) and roof openings (1, 4, 9 and 15 for the large building; 1, 2 and 4 for the small building) in addition to the overall performance statistics.

As the experiment was performed at model scale, and the ADMS results are at full scale, statistics are calculated from the non-dimensional parameter $K$ defined by

$$K = \frac{CUL^2}{Q}$$

where $C$ is the concentration in g/m$^3$, $Q$ is the emission rate in g/s, and $U$ and $L$ are as defined in the Section 2.

4.1 Large building

Figure 2 gives the 5$^{th}$, 25$^{th}$, 50$^{th}$, 75$^{th}$ and 95$^{th}$ percentiles of the ratio modelled to experimental values, for all runs divided into buoyancy cases.

Figure 3 gives the same set of results, but separated into number of roof openings.

Other statistics such as mean, variance (Sigma), bias, normalized mean square error (NMSE), correlation (Cor), values within a factor of 2 of the experimental values (Fa2), fractional bias (Fb) and fractional standard deviation (Fs) are presented in the following tables, as output directly from the MyAir Toolkit. Note that the sign of the bias and fractional bias calculated by the Myair Toolkit is consistent with openair [6] and the DELTA tool [5], but not with the BOOT package [7].

Table 7 gives the statistics divided into the buoyancy cases and Table 8 gives the values divided into the number of openings. Table 9 gives the summary statistics.
Figure 2 – Box and whisker plot of the results for the large building: buoyancy cases.

Figure 3 – Box and whisker plot of the results for the large building: roof openings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Sigma</th>
<th>Bias</th>
<th>NMSE</th>
<th>Cor</th>
<th>Fa2</th>
<th>Fb</th>
<th>Fs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>BRE (obs.)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.1</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.932</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>-0.787</td>
<td>-0.515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.2</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td>0.383</td>
<td>-0.641</td>
<td>-0.546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>BRE (obs.)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.1</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.597</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>-0.620</td>
<td>-0.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.2</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.633</td>
<td>-0.678</td>
<td>-0.269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>BRE (obs.)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.1</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>0.550</td>
<td>-0.542</td>
<td>-0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.2</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>-0.854</td>
<td>-0.649</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7 – Statistics for the large building: buoyancy cases S, W and X.
### Table 8 – Statistics for the large building: roof openings 1, 4, 9 and 15.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Sigma</th>
<th>Bias</th>
<th>NMSE</th>
<th>Cor</th>
<th>Fa2</th>
<th>Fb</th>
<th>Fs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BRE (obs.)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.1</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>-1.129</td>
<td>-0.440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.2</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>0.723</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>-1.301</td>
<td>-0.505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>BRE (obs.)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.1</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>-0.251</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.2</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>-0.452</td>
<td>-0.291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>BRE (obs.)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.1</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.805</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>-0.595</td>
<td>-0.610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.2</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.819</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>-0.598</td>
<td>-0.760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>BRE (obs.)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.1</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.805</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>-0.758</td>
<td>-0.917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.2</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>-0.672</td>
<td>-0.969</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 9 – Statistics for the large building.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Sigma</th>
<th>Bias</th>
<th>NMSE</th>
<th>Cor</th>
<th>Fa2</th>
<th>Fb</th>
<th>Fs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRE (obs.)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMS 5.1</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.716</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>-0.646</td>
<td>-0.307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMS 5.2</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td>0.483</td>
<td>-0.721</td>
<td>-0.494</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.2 Small building

**Figure 4** gives the 5th, 25th, 50th, 75th and 95th percentiles of the ratio of modelled to experimental values for all runs divided into buoyancy cases. **Figure 5** shows the same set of results, but separated into number of roof openings.

![Box and whisker plot of the results for the small building: buoyancy cases.](image-url)
**Figure 5** – Box and whisker plot of the results for the small building: roof openings.

Other statistics such as mean, variance (Sigma), bias, normalized mean square error (NMSE), correlation (Cor), values within a factor of 2 of the experimental values (Fa2), fractional bias (Fb) and fractional standard deviation (Fs) are presented in the next tables, as output directly from the MyAir Toolkit. **Table 10** gives the statistics divided into the buoyancy cases and **Table 11** gives the values divided into the number of openings. **Table 12** gives the summary statistics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Sigma</th>
<th>Bias</th>
<th>NMSE</th>
<th>Cor</th>
<th>Fa2</th>
<th>Fb</th>
<th>Fs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>BRE (obs.)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.1</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-1.054</td>
<td>-1.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.2</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-1.051</td>
<td>-0.989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>BRE (obs.)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.1</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>-0.338</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.2</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>-0.677</td>
<td>-0.391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>BRE (obs.)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>0.641</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>1.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.2</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>0.647</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>-1.139</td>
<td>-0.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 10** – Statistics for the small building: buoyancy cases S, W and X.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Sigma</th>
<th>Bias</th>
<th>NMSE</th>
<th>Cor</th>
<th>Fa2</th>
<th>Fb</th>
<th>Fs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BRE (obs.)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.1</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>0.356</td>
<td>-0.102</td>
<td>1.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.2</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>-1.064</td>
<td>-0.643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>BRE (obs.)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.1</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.647</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.2</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.289</td>
<td>-0.747</td>
<td>-0.691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>BRE (obs.)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.1</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>-0.828</td>
<td>-0.826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMS 5.2</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.694</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>-1.036</td>
<td>-0.952</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 11** – Statistics for the small building: roof openings 1, 2 and 4.
4.3 Both buildings

Figure 6 gives the 5th, 25th, 50th, 75th and 95th percentiles of the modelled/experimental values, for all runs and both buildings. Other statistics such as mean, variance (Sigma), bias, normalized mean square error (NMSE), correlation (Cor), values within a factor of 2 of the experimental values (Fa2), fractional bias (Fb) and fractional standard deviation (Fs) are presented in Table 13, as output directly from the MyAir Toolkit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Sigma</th>
<th>Bias</th>
<th>NMSE</th>
<th>Cor</th>
<th>Fa2</th>
<th>Fb</th>
<th>Fs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRE (obs.)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMS 5.1</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>-0.388</td>
<td>0.572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMS 5.2</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>-0.942</td>
<td>-0.727</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12 – Statistics for the small building.

Table 13 – Statistics for both buildings.

5 Summary

One would expect results to become less accurate as buoyancy increases from Cases S to W to X. This is because with the increasingly buoyant cases, the plume may pass through the top of the boundary layer and reach the top of the wind tunnel, and then may be reflected back. The behaviour of a plume in the atmospheric boundary layer is different – the fraction of the plume re-entering the boundary layer depends on the temperature inversion at the top of the boundary layer. These experiments demonstrate this – Figures 2 and 4 show how the spread of modelled/observed results increases with buoyancy. The value of correlation between the modelled and observed results also follows this pattern, as can be seen in Tables 7 and 10.
For this study, ADMS 5.2 predicts concentrations which are substantially different from those predicted by ADMS 5.1. Overall the correlation between modelled and observed concentrations has improved, which suggests that the model is modelling the variation of concentrations better, although the model bias has increased.

The ADMS 5 buildings module has been made more consistent between different building effects regions for ADMS 5.2, which may increase or decrease concentrations for some cases depending on the source, building and output point locations and characteristics. In addition the effects of building wake turbulence on plumes outside the wake have been improved. In this study the changes have lead to the removal of some spuriously high concentrations predicted by ADMS 5.1.

Results indicate that, with the exception of the ‘1 opening’ case, the large building results agree better with modelled values than the smaller building (compare Figures 3 and 5).

Table 13 gives the summary results from the MyAir Toolkit, which overall show a reasonably good agreement between the model predictions and the observed values.
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